## ROCKIN' THE BOAT BY JOE SAGE

is the new Mercedes-Benz EV lineup, being presented as a Mercedes-EQ sub-brand, the same approach taken with Mercedes-AMG and Mercedes-Maybach in recent years. (It's a bit ironic to us after years of pointedly using the full Mercedes-Benz name, rarely just Mercedes. But now add a hyphen, and they're all official.)

The new lineup is defining itself by starting at the top in the US, with an S model (letters follow suit to the Mercedes-Benz lineup, at least so far). This large luxury cruiser is the first to be built upon a new all-electric modular platform, this one to

also be used for an executive-class (E) model. The overall goal is to have a completely carbon-neutral lineup within 20 years, with half of those by 2030.

There is some redundancy in an individual vehicle name. Rather than an S 580 with a full name of Mercedes-EQ S 580 (following suit to a Mercedes-AMG S 65, for example), this is an EQS 580, thus a Mercedes-EQ EQS 580. We may see some more evolution on this before all is said and done.

This rockin' super-high-tech big luxury yacht is sure to rock the boat in the industry overall, where it may be fair to say luxury has been defined to

date purely by a high price. While entries in the entire EV marketplace are growing exponentially, Mercedes-EQ heads straight to the high ground.

Despite the parallel naming, the EQS is immediately recognizable by its own distinct wind-swallowing profile, or "one-bow cab forward design." They have totally nailed the styling, telegraphing exactly what it is: a big luxury electric Mercedes.

Inside, a single combined instrument and touchscreen panel—the MBUX Hyperscreen—sweeps the full 56-inch width. (Beneath the visually dominant single glass panel live three conventionally

rectangular OLED screens.) Instruments include the latest generation of MBUX with augmented reality navigation. Actuators and pressure sensors provide haptic-reminiscent touch.

The cabin is full of beyond-the-norm creature comforts—"health and wellness" features that seem tailor-made for an autonomous cocoon, but are intended to boost real live drivers' attentiveness and reduce behind-the-wheel stress. These include forest, ocean and rain background sounds (fed through a Burmester 3D sound system) and an "energizing" filtered air supply. Programs involved are said to "intelligently combine comfort and vehicle functions," which suggests many possibilities for driver and/or vehicle tech intervention.

The headrests bear billowy pillows, very comfortable for a passenger, though sometimes somewhat in the way for a driver.

Many controls—seats and mirrors on the door, others on the steering wheel—are set under multi-switch smooth membranes, slick, but harder to use without looking and, in our experience, sometimes making it harder to pinpoint a selection.

All in all, this layout makes everybody else's rectangle-in-the-center-stack seem guite dated. Any existing high-dollar EV maker is going to have a run for its money with this in the marketplace.

All that said, and as with anything, the proof is when you punch the pedal. Now, this isn't the only EV we've driven, and much of the experience is fairly universal among them. Then again, even the universal aspects are clearly overlaid with Mercedes' technical grace and this model's 516-horsepower equivalent and 631 lb-ft of torque.

Within a block, we had noted that this car could make it hard to go back to internal combustion.

(cont'd)

## **SPECIFICATIONS**

SPECIFICATION	9
ASSEMBLY	Sindelfingen, Germaı
LAYOUTfour-do	or five-passenger seda
MOTORdual p	ermanently synchronoi
OUTPUT	385 k n-ion hard case 216 ce
BATTERYlithiun	n-ion hard case 216 cel
ON-BOARD CHARG	GER9.6 K
RANGE	350 mile
OLIADOE TIME.	
240V/32A (10-100	)%)11.25 h 110kW (10-80%)31 m
DC Fast Charge	110kVV (10-80%)31 m
HP/TORQUE	516 hp / 631 lb 4MATIC all-wheel driv
DRIVETRAIN	4MATIC all-wheel driv
TRANSMISSION	w Torque Vectorii single stag
TRANSMISSIUN	single stag
U-TU-60 / TUP SPEED	4.1 sec / 130 mp
	IRMATIC Air Suspension
rook & ninion: 10 c	-mech speed depende legree rear-axle steerii
DRAVEC	r. 15 25' p. 14 0
WHEELS 22 in 5 to	<b>F: 15.35"; <b>R</b>: 14.8 vin spoke, black accen</b>
VVIIEEL322-111 3-10	standard: 9.5x21 cas)
TIDES 22-in I	range-optimized summ
TINL322-111 1	standard: 265/40 R2)
I FNGTH / WHEFI RAS	E207.28 / 126.38
GROUND CLEARANCE	5.28
TURNING CIRCLE	35.76
HEADROOM (F/R)	40.35 / tba
LEGROOM (E/R)	41.7 / tba
CARGO CAPACITY	22 / 63 cu.
WEIGHT	5888
MPGe	91/98/94 (city/hwy/com
	\$119,11
BASE PRICE	
PAINT, UPHOLSTERY,	I KIM
Graphite Grey Me	Brown leather
Neva diey / Sabit	hracite Linden wood
Neva Grev headli	nerı
CABLE: emergency u	se 110v charging2
AIR BALANCE PKG	
ENERGIZ. AIR CONTRI	3 <b>DL PLUS</b> , HEPA filter4
TIRES: range-optimiz	ed, summer
WHEELS: 22" 5-twin s	poke, black accents13
HEATED STEERING W	HEEL2
HEADS-UP DISPLAY	11
GLASS: laminated sa	fety, infrared protect10
ACTIVE AMBIENT LIG	HTÍNG5
RAPID HEATING FROM	IT SEATS4
EXCLUSIVE TRIM: fro	nt multicontour seats wi
massage, four-zoi	ne climate control15
CREDIT: X- standard va	alet/beginner mode(5

## **2022 MERCEDES-EQ EQS LINEUP**

CREDIT: x- standard AMG Line exterior ......(2200)

\$125,285

DESTINATION CHARGE.....

EQS 450+ Sedan	- Winner
Premium	\$102,310
Exclusive	105,710
Pinnacle	
EQS 580 4MATIC Sedan	

Premium	<b>v</b> \$119,110
Exclusive	122,510
Pinnacle	125,310





compare this as the week wore on.

As we are often inclined to do, we popped the car into sport mode. A rough response crossing a rain trough inspired us to change that—we went into the custom profile and gave ourselves sport driving and steering, but comfort suspension, then never thought about it again.

Downsides included that regenerative drivetrain feeling of no gradation in the pedals between full stop and full go—it takes some getting used to, to not be able to eeeease into motion as we're all familiar with, even on a nanosecond scale, and get more of a feel for a vehicle's heft and power.

Other downsides had to do with charging challenges, surprising in our EV-rich neck of the woods. but that's another more general EV factor. A fulltime owner will probably have their own charger at home, and they'll be more familiar with options for top-offs in the region. We do drive EVs regularly and have all the apps and accounts, but due to various technical issues and inoperable stations. we felt as though we spent as much time charging (or trying to charge) as driving.

Things that did impact our drive as the week went on, that were specific to this car, all came down to the interface. We had a running list of key settings that had turned themselves on or offfrom a disappearing heads-up display, to seat preferences, to audio—an hour digging for this, an hour digging for that—for which we never found corrections or mitigations (even if we had earlier). But each item that felt as though it was beyond our own control was disquieting. Imagine a home with controls you could not predict, but that an engineer had intended to be intuitive. We've been

but many seemed too clever for their own good. Try as many as you can, and see what you think.

Just a couple of days into our time with the EQS, it turns out we had to run to California, just to pick up an obscure but very necessary computer part that someone would not ship. Fifteen hours of drive. 90 seconds of "hi and thanks." We had a prohibition against taking the electric Mercedes out of state, so we would take a car of our ownwhich gives us the partly EVs-in-general and partly this-one-in-particular perspectives at right.

But it sheds light on the real world ownership experience, subject to variables of lifestyle and experience—as with our charging experience.

We had started the week with high praise for the design and interface of the car's instruments and features. That wore off pretty quickly, as we did repeated dives into the system, trying and often failing to find or correct many key functions.

Thus it's too bad we couldn't drive this to LA, for that reason in particular. Perhaps moreso than average, this vehicle experience would benefit from a longer cruise like that, with a co-pilot in the right seat, digging through the manuals and screens for the duration, investigating and solving all the loose ends and sometimes overly complex mysteries we were tallying. Once all are solved, the pure driving experience should come through loud and clear—and that is magnificent, indeed.

Next on tap for the Mercedes-EQ lineup are the aforementioned EQE sedan and an EQB SUV, both already revealed for other markets. And the Mercedes-Benz C. E. S. B and-so-on naming convention is set aside with a VISION EQXX being revealed in early January. The future is here. ■

## **OUR UNPLANNED EV-IC COMPARO**

ith a necessary dash to greater Land back on our hands, but not al lowed to take the EQS out of state, we spent most of two days instead in our own gasoline V8 sport coupe. That's okay. There are long stretches between things on I-10 west, and we wouldn't have to stop to charge an EV. Hitting gas pumps right is tricky enough.

But as we cruised, we got to thinking and to running the numbers, for gasoline-vs-EV, or for our-car-vs-the-EQS more specifically.

The EQS 580 has a stated range of 350 miles, healthy for the big three-ton vehicle it is, but there are a lot of EVs coming out now, even big pickups and SUVs, stating 500.

In most efficient 6th gear (manual), our relatively thirsty V8 read between 18-21 mpg on this run. Its tank is about 17 gallons. We had left with about 5/8 of a tank and refilled at more like 12 or 13 gallons, grabbing gas stations where they exist on a long stretch like that. We stopped three times total, round trip -Blythe, Pasadena and Quartzsite on the return. Seventeen gallons at 20 mpg gives the car 340 miles of range, about the same as the EQS. Realistically, a driver will run neither a gasoline vehicle nor an EV to the end of its range. On either, 70-80 percent of range is about 240-280 miles. Figure we would have stopped just as often to charge the EQS, same-same, three times.

However, each charge takes about hour, compared with a few minutes to fill the tank. That adds three hours to our roughly 17.5-hour drive time.

And around town, an EV owner may have the charging ritual down pat. But on a longer drive, it may take any of us another hour to locate chargers in unfamiliar places, more if we have to wait for someone who's already charging. We may encounter chargers that are out of service or otherwise failing, as we did here. Another hour for all that, times three, now adds six hours to the trip in total.

Our trip had one overnight. Adding three hours for charging, or six or more with hunting and waiting, would add a hotel night.

Much of this is general EV thinking, and it's all on a fast track to improvement, though these numbers are specific to the EQS. All in all, this partly theoretical but basically deadon comparison seems useful to note today.

